# State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01)

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

# REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please <sub>i</sub>	print or type required information	Original Filing	Supplemental	Filing	Corrected Filing			
	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC							
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	Control Corrective	Skincare, 1	Inc.					
SE -O	COURT DOCKET NUMBER JCC0004765			NAME meda C	ounty Court	chouse		
5≥	SHORT CASE NAME Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases							
ORT INFO	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformulation  PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES PAYMENT: OTHER							
	\$2,000  WILL SETTLEMENT BE SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, R	\$7,950  TER ENTRY OF JUDGMEREPORT OF ENTRY OF ENTRY OF JUDGMEREPORT OF ENTRY OF ENTRY OF ENTRY OF JUDGMEREPORT OF ENTRY OF ENTRY OF JUDGMEREPORT OF ENTRY OF ENTRY OF ENTRY OF ENTRY OF ENTRY OF JUDGMEREPORT OF ENTRY OF ENT	NT BY DOGMENT	O.OO  NATE SETTLEN		For Internal Use Only		
	Yes No Must be submitted to attorney general COPY OF SETTLEMENT MUST BE ATTACHED							
	NAMEOFCONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum							
	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Daniel Greenbaum					TELEPHONE NUMBER ( 818) 809-2199		
	ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320					FAX NUMBER ( 424) 243-7698		
	CITY Van Nuys	STATE ZIP CA 9140		E-MAIL ADDR		baumlawfirm	.com	

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

23 24 25 26 27 28    Diagonal Control Corrective   Skincare, inc.								
Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue Suits 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Faesimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff SHIFFA LMV, LLC  TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronie M. Schmetz, Esq. 515 S. Flower Street 42 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Faesimile: (213) 430-3375 Faesimile: (213) 430-3409 Email: Ronie. Schmetz@tuckerellis.com Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSEDJ CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC. Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr. Jege 1		LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM						
7120 Hayvenburst Avenue Suits 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC  TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronic M. Schmelz, Esq. 515 S. Flower Street 42nd Floor Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Facsimile: (213) 430-3409 Email: Ronic.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES    Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191]   PROPOSED  CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.   Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.   Action filed: April 17, 2015	1	lacksquare						
Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Faesimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC  TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronie M. Schmelz, Esq, 515 S. Flower Street 42nd Floor Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Facsimile: (213) 430-3409 Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com  Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.    [Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No.) BC579191]   [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC. ] Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.  Action filed: April 17, 2015	2	11						
Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Fassimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC  TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronie M. Schmelz, Esq. 515 S. Flower Street 42nd Floor Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Fassimile: (213) 430-3375 Fassimile: (213) 430-3375 Fassimile: (213) 430-3409 Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSEDITON 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSEDI CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.  Action filed: April 17, 2015	3							
Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com  Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC  TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronie M. Schmelz, Esq. 515 S. Flower Street 42nd Floor Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Facsimile: (213) 430-3375 Facsimile: (213) 430-3409 Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com  Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  Dishefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191]  PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.  Action filed: April 17, 2015	3							
Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com  Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC  TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronie M. Schmelz, Esq. 515 S. Flower Street 42 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Facsimile: (213) 430-3409 Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES    Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BCS79191   PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.   Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.   Action filed: April 17, 2015	4	Telephone: (818) 809-2199						
Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC  TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronie M. Schmelz, Esq. 515 S. Flower Street 42 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Fassimile: (213) 430-3409 Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com  Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES    JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION   PROCEEDING NO: 4765	5							
TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronie M. Schmelz, Esq. 515 S. Flower Street 42 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Facsimile: (213) 430-3409 Email: Ronie. Schmelz@tuckerellis.com Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES    JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO: 4765   Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191]   PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.   Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.   Action filed: April 17, 2015   Page 1		Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC						
Ronie M. Schmelz, Esq.   515 S. Flower Street   42 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	7	TICKED EILISTID						
42nd Floor   Los Angeles CA 90071   Telephone: (213) 430-3375   Facsimile: (213) 430-3409   Email: Ronie. Schmelz@tuckerellis.com     Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.     SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA     FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA     Coordination Proceeding   JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION   PROCEEDING NO: 4765     PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA   CASES   Sincare   Judicial Law, Lic v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No.   BC579191     PROPOSED   CONSENT JUDGMENT A   TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE   SKINCARE, INC.     Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.   Action filed: April 17, 2015     Page 1	8							
Los Angeles CA 90071	9	515 S. Flower Street						
Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Facsimile: (213) 430-3409 Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com  Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSED   CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.    PROPOSED   CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.   Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.   Action filed: April 17, 2015	10							
Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com  Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  CASES  DESCRIPTION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  DIJUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO: 4765  Skiefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191  PROPOSEDJ CONSENT JUDGMENT ATO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.  Action filed: April 17, 2015  Page 1								
Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  CASES  DESCRIPTION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO: 4765  Skiefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191]  PROPOSEDJ CONSENT JUDGMENT ATTO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC. Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.  Action filed: April 17, 2015  Page 1	11	Facsimile: (213) 430-3409						
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  15 16 17 18 18 Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  19 20 PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  19 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Page 1	12	Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com						
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES    Discontinuous proceeding	13	Attorneys for Defendant CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.						
FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSI	14	STIDEDIOD COLIDT OF THE STATE OF CALLEODNIA						
Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES    Description of the control of th	15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES    Description of the proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)    Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)   Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)   PROCEEDING NO: 4765   Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)   PROCEEDING NO: 4765   Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)   PROCEEDING NO: 4765   Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)   PROCEEDING NO: 4765   Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)   PROCEEDING NO: 4765   Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)   PROCEEDING NO: 4765   Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)   PROCEEDING NO: 4765   Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)   Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.3	16	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA						
Special Title (Rule 3.350)   PROCEEDING NO: 4765	17							
PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES  PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES    Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191]   PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT ATO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.   Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.   Action filed: April 17, 2015	10							
PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES    Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191]   PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT A TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC.   Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr. Action filed: April 17, 2015   Page 1		Special Title (Rule 3.350)	) PROCEEDING NO: 4763					
PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES    al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No.     BC579191]     PROPOSED   CONSENT JUDGMENT A     TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE     SKINCARE, INC.     Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.     Action filed: April 17, 2015     Page 1			) \ [Shofa LMV_LLC v_Farouk Systems_Inc_et]					
Page 1   PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT A	20	11						
TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE   SKINCARE, INC.   SKINCARE, INC.   Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.   Action filed: April 17, 2015   Page 1	21	CASES	BC579191]					
23 24 25 26 27 28    SKINCARE, INC.     Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.     Action filed: April 17, 2015     Page 1	22		[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS					
25 26 27 28 Page 1	23							
26   ) Action filed: April 17, 2015   )   27			Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.					
27 28 Page 1			Action filed: April 17, 2015					
28 Page 1	26							
Page 1	27							
	28							
the obouted the contraction of the contraction								
[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO CONTROL CORRECTIVE SKINCARE, INC JCCP No. 4765								

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa") and Control Corrective Skincare, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 The Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells types of products identified on Exhibit A that contain diethanolamine ("DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On October 16, 2014, Shefa served á 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of DEA in the types of products identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.4 On April 17, 2015, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation

and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means the types of products identified on the Exhibit A for each Settling Defendant.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains DEA" if DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or intentionally added part of the product formulation.
- 3.2 Specification to Suppliers. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its supplier(s) of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain any DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing DEA on a nationwide basis.
  - 3.3 Action Regarding Specific Products.
- 3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling the specific products (if any) identified as Section 3.3 Products on the Exhibit A for such Settling Defendant ("Section 3.3 Products") in California unless such products have been reformulated such that they do not contain DEA. On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3 Products to any of its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California; and (ii) send instructions to its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California instructing them either to: (a) return all the Section 3.3 Products to Settling Defendant for destruction, or (b) directly destroy the Section 3.3 Products. The requirements of this Section apply only to those Section 3.3 Products that contain DEA.

- 3.3.2 Any destruction of Section 3.3 Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws.
- 3.3.3 Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide Shefa with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section 3.3.
- 3.4 Current Inventory. To the extent it has not already suspended the sale of Covered Products in California, on or before ninety (90) days after the Effective Date Settling Defendant shall not sell or otherwise distributed any Covered Product in California or to a California consumer.
- 3.5 Preservation of Competitiveness. The intent of this Section is to protect the competitive interests of Settling Defendant arising from the Shefa's claims and to ensure that by settling the allegations in the Notice of Violation and the Complaint, Settling Defendant is not disadvantaged with respect to its competitors. Specifically, the parties agree that should any agreement or consent judgment be entered into by Shefa, The California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, or the California Attorney General's Office concerning personal care products similar to the Covered Product that contains provisions that would materially impact the terms of this Agreement, such benefits shall be deemed to accrue to Settling Defendant and this Agreement shall be amended by a stipulation and proposed order, a copy of which shall be provided to the Attorney General's office five (5) business days prior to submission to the Court, to provide Settling Defendant the benefit thereof. Further, should there be a court decision involving any other person or entity that received a Proposition 65 60-Day Notice of Violation alleging that DEA in personal care products similar to the Covered Products and such decision is in whole or in part favorable to the Settling Defendant(s) in such action, then that decision shall be incorporated into this Agreement by a stipulation and proposed order, a copy of which shall be provided to the Attorney General's Office five (5) business days prior to submission to the Court. Nothing herein shall prohibit Settling Defendant from selling or distributing or manufacturing for sale of distribution in California Covered Products that bear the Required California Proposition 65 Health Warning.

#### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Shefa shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and proof of purchase and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

#### 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall initiate the settlement payment (per the terms in §5.2) identified on Exhibit A. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid pursuant to the instructions outlined in Exhibit A (hereinafter "Total Settlement"). The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated, as identified in Exhibit A, between the following categories:
- 5.1.1 A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), with such money to be apportioned by Shefa as identified on the Exhibit A for the Settling Defendant in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- 5.1.2 A reimbursement of a portion of Shefa's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 5.2 Settling Defendant' payment schedule. Settling Defendant shall break the \$9,950.00 Total Settlement into four (4) installments. Per §5.1 above, the first payment is due within ten (10 days) of the Effective Date. Settling Defendant shall make the final payment,

constituting full payment of the Total Settlement, on or before four (4) months after the Effective Date.

# 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

# 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant), and their current and past directors, officers, employees and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"); of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.

application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show

28

cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Shefa as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Shefa's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

### 11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling Defendant, its affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

Dated: | -5-15

CONTROL CORRECTIVES SKINCARE, INC.

By: SOULLE

Page 10

# ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Control Corrective Skincare, Inc. the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein. Dated: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge of the Superior Court

# **EXHIBIT A**

- 1. Name of Settling Defendant: Control Corrective Skincare, Inc.
- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.3):

TUCKER ELLIS LLP

Ronie M. Schmelz, Esq.

515 S. Flower Street

42<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Los Angeles CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Facsimile: (213) 430-3409

Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com

- 4. Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): *Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191
- 5. Covered Products Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to Sections 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 7.1, and 7.2):
  - \_ Shampoos
  - Soaps
  - x Sunscreens
- 6. Defendant's Section 3.3 Product(s) (Pursuant to Sections 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.3.3):

Control Corrective Aroma Matte

7. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

Total Settlement Payment: \$9,950.00

Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$2,000.00

Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa): \$ N/A

Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$7,950.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.